



Draft Strategic Territorial/Urban Agenda FRAMEWORK


**Prepared
by:**

**PARTNER
LOGO**

Partner full name

<http://partner website>

**Reviewed
by:**



STATUS Content
Management

URBASOFIA
www.urbasofia.eu



Urban Planning Institute
of the Republic of
Slovenia

Copyright open content

Project number: SEE/D/0297/4.1/X
Project acronym: STATUS
Project title: Strategic Territorial Agendas for "Small and Middle-Sized Towns" Urban Systems
Instrument: INTERREG IV B SEE
Call identifier: South East Europe Call D

Authorship

Barbara Music (UIRS), Bostjan Cotic (UIRS)

Pietro Elisei (URBASOFIA), Sabina Dimitriu (URBASOFIA)

Linda Dörrzapf (CEIT)

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	3
Table of Figures	4
1 Expectations and objectives of the 2nd workshop.....	5
2 Workshop Organisation; Organizers and Panellists	5
3 Additions to the topics discussed in WS1	6
4 Assessment of the 2nd Workshop	7
5 Draft Strategic Agenda: Possible solutions.....	9
6 Overall conclusions of the workshop.....	10
7 Final considerations and key lessons learned	10
8 Follow-up and next steps.....	10
9 Annexes	10

Table of Figures

Tables

Table 1 - Dates, location and attendance of the workshop	6
Table 2 - Organizers and participating experts in the PARTNER 1st Workshop	6

Pictures

Figure 1 - The Working Groups of the workshop	Error! Bookmark not defined.
---	-------------------------------------

1 Expectations and objectives of the 2nd workshop

Please describe, in 1-2 pages:

- *The expectations set after the first workshop and how you planned to continue the processes started, both in terms of content and consolidation of the Urban Task Force;*

Make a short recap of the first workshop results and present the main multidimensional problems that you settled upon as being a priority for the Agenda:

- 1. Priority problem 1*
- 2. Priority problem 2*
- 3. Priority problem 3*
- 4. Priority problem 4.....*

Figure 1 - The cross-domain priority problems of **PARTNER**. Source: D4.2 **PARTNER**

- *The objectives/aims of the second workshop – what did you seek to discover, achieve, validate?*

2 Workshop Organisation; Organizers and Panellists

2.1 Overview of the 2nd Workshop organisation

The 2nd local workshop has been held in **PARTNER LOCATION**, spanning **X** days between **xx – xx.xx.2013**:

Day	Date held	Location of meeting (address)	Participants overall	Of Stakeholder representatives	which
1					
2					
3					

Table 1 - Dates, location and attendance of the workshop

Please talk about the organizing and coordination team and, if applicable, the additional experts, facilitators, moderators. List them in the table below.

Organizer / Expert	Representative person	Position	Contact	Type of organization

Table 2 - Organizers and participating experts in the **PARTNER** 2nd Workshop

Please give details about the attendees: total number, general overview.

The full list of participants is available in the Annex 1: Attendance list.

2.2 Changes to the stakeholder composition and finalization of the Urban Task Force – short assessment

Assess the changes (and motivation behind them) between the attendee list of the workshop and the prior one.

NOTE: Update your [participants list from the dropbox](#). **DO NOT** edit the existing one:

- Make a second sheet named Partner WS2 with the updated information;
- Use this example in operating the modifications in your excel stakeholder list: https://www.dropbox.com/s/d629gj2z6p1oqol/Stakeholder_data%20Alba%20Iulia_after1stWorkshop_SD.xls

The creation of the **Urban Task Forces** goes parallel with the second workshop for the Strategic Agenda. One of the outputs of the second workshop is the formation of the Urban Task Force as a constant generator of ideas and solutions for the cities. Please describe the process you employed for finalizing the Urban Task Force and its final composition.

3 Additions to the topics discussed in WS1

If there have been any new issues or problems raised in the workshop, on any workgroup / plenary subject related to the development of the territory, please list them here.


4 Assessment of the 2nd Workshop

4.1 Definition and changes to the pre-existing Working Groups.

Topics of discussion

The working groups *have been kept in line with the ones defined for the first workshop / have had the following modifications:*

1. [...]
2. [...]



The topics of discussion (problems for which solutions have been provided) for each working group have been the following:

1. Working Group 1 –Title. Moderated by XXX (Organisation)

- *List the issues the working group has discussed in the process of finding suitable solutions*
- 2. ...

Example: The working group on Mobility and Accessibility has discussed the following:

- *How to solve the issue of traffic problems at the intersection XXX;*
- *How to improve accessibility for differently-abled citizens within the YYY location;*
- *How to connect ZZZ area to VVV major city objective;*

4.2 Key Observations

Working Group 1 – XXX

Assess the workgroup dynamic, information about the stakeholder group composition you deem important, their openness to communicate. List other things you deem important: conflicting or opposite opinions, general consensus on certain topics, etc.

Do you think the stakeholders in the workgroup worked well with each other? Did they show interest to the topic at hand?

Working Group 2 – XXX

Working Group 3 – XXX

Working Group 4 – XXX

Working Group 5 – XXX

Working Group 6 – XXX

5 Draft Strategic Agenda: Possible solutions

Based on the issues and topics discussed in the workshop and described in chapter 4.1, list the solutions discussed within the workshop for each issue. **Important: be specific! List not only the solution type (eg. Building new infrastructure to improve accessibility and mobility) but also the concrete action proposed to solve the priority problem discussed (eg. Deviate car traffic underground by constructing an underpassage inXXX place in order to improve the following:)**

Working Group 1 – XXX

List the solutions discussed in the working group. You can opt for a structure similar to this one:

a. Priority problem 1

• **SOLUTIONS:**

- Concrete proposed solution 1
- Concrete proposed solution 2
-
- Prioritization of possible actions

• Opportunities for funding the potential solutions in 2014-2020;

• If already available for concrete development options: stage of implementation – technical / feasibility studies, draft stage;

b. Priority problem 2

IMPORTANT: For each working group, provide the graphical output that has been created in the workshop (map, drawing, tracing paper drawing, etc.)

6 Overall conclusions of the workshop

Talk about the ideas and potential solutions generated in the workshop:

- *Which have been considered the most feasible?*
- *Which have been the most often mentioned ones, even in different groups?*
- *Have there been any conflicts generated by proposed choices? Are there incompatible or diverging solutions and if so, how has the issue been dealt with (or how will it be)?*
- *What have been the final conclusions regarding the urban problems and the sectors analysed in the workgroups?*

7 Final considerations and key lessons learned

Did the meeting fulfil your expectations? Yes/no? Why? What KEY LESSONS have you learned and what do you still need to reconsider in the final design workshop?

8 Follow-up and next steps

Talk about:

- *How and when you will organize the 3rd workshop*
- *How you will keep the stakeholders “alive” in between: inviting them to register with the STATUS website and participating to the geoblog, providing them with results, etc.*

9 Annexes

Annex 1: Attendance list

Annex 2: Agenda of the workshop

Annex 3: Pictures of the workshops

Annex 4: Draft Agenda Poster Plan – the graphical output encompassing all proposals discussed in the workshop.